



CITY OF SOMERVILLE, MASSACHUSETTS
MAYOR'S OFFICE OF STRATEGIC PLANNING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
KATJANA BALLANTYNE
MAYOR

THOMAS J. GALLIGANI, JR.
INTERIM EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION

Eric Parkes, Chair
Robin Kelly, Vice-Chair
Ryan Falvey

Denis (DJ) Chagnon (Alt.)
Dick Bauer
Alan Bingham

HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION MEETING MINUTES

6:45 p.m. on **Tuesday, July 19, 2022**

The **Somerville Historic Preservation Commission (HPC)** will hold a public meeting and public hearings on **Tuesday, July 19, 2022** at 6:45pm on the following applications, in accordance with the Historic Districts Act, Chapter 40C of the Massachusetts General Laws, as amended, and/or the City of Somerville Code of Ordinances, Pt. II, Chap. 7, Sections 7-16 – 7-28.

Pursuant to Chapter 107 of the Acts of 2022, this meeting of the Historic Preservation Commission will be conducted via remote participation. A recording of these proceedings can be accessed at any time using the registration link at the top of this agenda.

Meeting called to order at 6:48 p.m. by Chair Parkes

Members present: Eric Parkes (Chair), Robin Kelly (Vice Chair), Alan Bingham, Ryan Falvey, Dick Bauer, DJ Chagnon

Staff present: Wendy Sczechowicz, Sarah White, Andrew Graminski

Others present: Allison Drasner, Jack Connolly, Andrew Heiderberg, Gene Bruen, Michael Burgoyne, Bruce & Sharon Wolman, Ji Shi, Tim Curley, Anne Vigorito, Ralph Malin, Evan Felman

I. Memorandum of Agreement (MOA)

1. 51 Broadway



CITY HALL • 93 HIGHLAND AVENUE • SOMERVILLE, MASSACHUSETTS 02143
(617) 625-6600 EXT. 2500 • TTY: (617) 666-0001 • FAX: (617) 625-0722
www.somervillema.gov



- Applicant team wanted minor changes made to the language of the MOA. Changes included ‘the text, images, design, and layout of the signs shall be determined by Preservation Planning. The scale, installation and location of the signs shall be determined between Preservation Planning and the development team.’; the ‘Installation shall be prior to the final issuance of the Certificate of Occupancy’; and finally, that the name of the party of whom the HPC is entering into the agreement with should be changed to “Somerville Gateway Development LLC” instead of “Ben Rogan”. Staff and the HPC were okay with the changes.
- Mr. Bingham - questioned the duration of the signage.
- Staff - MOA is permanently associated with a property and therefore the sign is a permanent fixture. Adding language to require maintenance could be added to the MOA to ensure that the signage remain in perpetuity.
- Mr. Bauer - a note guaranteeing the duration of the signage should be added.
- Staff and the HPC discussed the permanence of Memorandum of Agreements.

Motion to approve the MOA with the edits as noted by Staff, and with additional language regarding the maintenance, visibility, and permanence of the signage.

HPC Action: Following a motion by Vice Chair Kelly, seconded by Mr. Bingham, the Commission voted unanimously (6-0) to **accept** the Memorandum of Agreement.

Roll call vote: Ryan Falvey: yes; Alan Bingham: yes; DJ Chagnon: yes; Robin Kelly: yes; Dick Bauer: yes; Eric Parkes: yes

2. 11 Benedict Avenue

- Details of the MOA are the same as 51 Broadway as they are related to the same project.
- Staff - the signage will be placed on 51 Broadway, as that is the building that is most prominent and will receive the most foot traffic.

Motion to approve the MOA, with the edits as noted by Staff.

HPC Action: Following a motion by Vice Chair Kelly, seconded by Mr. Bingham, the Commission voted unanimously (6-0) to **accept** the Memorandum of Agreement.

Roll call vote: Ryan Falvey: yes; Alan Bingham: yes; DJ Chagnon: yes; Robin Kelly: yes; Dick Bauer: yes; Eric Parkes: yes

3. 245 Elm Street

- Chair Parkes - asked if there were any signs proposed for the site.
- Staff - there are no plans for signage.
- Applicant’s attorney provided a brief background on the site and project.
- Chair Parkes - had no issues with the MOA as presented.

Motion to approve the MOA as written.

HPC Action: Following a motion by Vice Chair Kelly, seconded by Mr. Bingham, the Commission voted unanimously (6-0) to **accept** the Memorandum of Agreement.

Roll call vote: Ryan Falvey: yes; Alan Bingham: yes; DJ Chagnon: yes; Robin Kelly: yes; Dick Bauer: yes; Eric Parkes: yes

II. Other Business

1. Staff Approval of Minor Project Changes

- Staff - there are times when a property owner might want to make changes to what has already been approved. As long as the changes don't go beyond the Design Guidelines, Staff would like to have the ability to approve "de minimus" changes to Commission approvals. If items are not minor in nature Staff will send the project back to the HPC for their review.
- Vice Chair Kelly - what would be defined as "minor" changes.
- Staff - they would come up with language to provide to the HPC on what constitutes as a minor change, which would include examples of minor changes and examples of changes that Staff would send back to the HPC.
- The HPC agreed to have Staff come back with language at the next meeting.

2. Staff Approval of Solar Panel Installation

- Staff - a lot of solar panel installation requests come in and the HPC has never denied a request for solar panels. The Commission has denied associated work for the solar panels, such as removing slate roofing tiles. Additionally, the conditions placed on solar panels are consistently the same and therefore Staff will know to add those conditions to Staff level approvals.
- Staff - they come up with formal examples and language regarding solar panel installation to present to the HPC for approval.
- The HPC agreed to review this further at the next meeting.

III. Alterations to Local Historic District (LHD) Properties

1. HPC.ALT 2022.26 – 1 Westwood Road

Applicant: Somerville Historical Society dba Somerville Museum

Owner: Somerville Museum

The Applicant seeks a Certificate of Appropriateness to alter an LHD property by enclosing windows, installing fencing and hardscape

- Mr. Chagnon - recused himself at the direction of the City Solicitor for this case.
- Allison Drasner, Jack Connolly, Andrew Heiderberg, and Gene Bruen from the Applicant team presented the proposal, including that the Phase I renovation of the museum is about to be completed and Phase II of the renovation includes enclosing three windows: one on the front and two on the side.
- The idea for the infill is to ensure moisture and climate control within the storage space, and the intention is to match the existing brick on the building. Currently there are no



matching windows in the basement on the lefthand side of the building so this would create symmetry with the front of the building.

- The applicant and HPC discussed the intent to match the brick and mortar on the window infills to achieve a unified look.
- A redesign of the garden spaces is also being proposed, which includes a hardscape patio space in the garden that could be used for multiple different uses such as events, artwork, workshops, etc. Patio material used would be permeable pavers, as to not conflict with the historic brick onsite. The steppingstone material would be a concrete material. A traditionally designed locally-made donated fence surrounding both sides of the garden is also being proposed.
- Public Comment:
 - Ron Cavallo (70 Victoria Street) - great improvement to the building. Want to commend the Commission for letting the Applicant show the plans. Great job by the applicant team for putting together a great proposal to help maintain this building with improvements.
 - Michael Steigman (58 Central Street) - museum has done a great job and agreed that it is nice to be able to see the plans in real time. As the neighbors, they have worked closely with the museum on the improvement and are in favor of changes.
- Closed public comment.
- Mr. Bingham - no problem with the infill of the windows. The iron fence is consistent with the architecture of the building, had some issues with the pavers, as they are inconsistent with the historic period of the building and felt using brick would be more appropriate.
- Vice Chair Kelly - understood the approach to distinguish new material from old but thought the scale of the space and programming is a lot. Drawing any further attention to that new work is going to feel even busier than it otherwise would, using brick would be more appropriate and less distracting.
- Chair Parkes - fine with the infilling of the windows and was agnostic about the paving materials but leaned towards brick as well.
- Mr. Bauer - agreed with the other HPC members, windows are fine, and bricks would be less distracting.
- The applicant - permeable pavers were chosen because that area is exposed to a lot of water and brick would not be as permeable as the pavers, don't want to have puddles after it rained. The material would be along the sidewalk, which is currently gray. They considered brick but have not been able to find a good match.
- Chair Parkes - brick could be installed in a way that allows water to drain beneath it or shed away from the building.
- Mr. Bingham - quite a lot of research has been put into this by others in the city. Modern bricks can be as permeable as any modern pavers. If the applicant needs this information, they should reach out to the Planning Department.
- Chair Parkes - asked Staff about the best approach regarding the selection of a brick.
- Staff - they can make sure that the applicant submits a palette that matches the existing brick. The HPC asked that an actual brick be chosen prior to Staff approval, but that they do not need to see the pattern in which the brick will be laid.
- The HPC discussed the materiality of the steppingstones, agreed that the stones be granite, rather than the proposed concrete.

Motion to approve with changes that the proposed paver material be actual brick and match the site sidewalk with final color to be determined by Staff and the steppingstones be granite, also to be approved by Staff.

HPC Action: Following a motion by Mr. Bingham, seconded by Vice Chair Kelly, the Commission voted unanimously (5-0) to **approve** the proposed alteration, with the changes discussed.

Roll call vote: Ryan Falvey: yes; Alan Bingham: yes; Robin Kelly: yes; Dick Bauer: yes; Eric Parkes: yes

2. **HPC.ALT 2022.25 – 37 Albion Street**

Applicant: Newpro Home Improvement Solutions

Owner: Genevieve Daly

The Applicant seeks a Certificate of Appropriateness to alter an LHD property by replacing existing windows

- Applicant proposed to replace windows, to optimize efficiency. It will be a pocket install, which will maintain the overall frame of the building and they will be able to remove the older windows and install new composite windows to help maintain the historic look of the windows.
- Staff - recommended is that the 38 replacement windows are replaced with a two over two configuration because six over six is not appropriate for style and period of the house. And, that the 1 original two over two window be restored, sealed, and a storm window be placed over it.
- Public Comment:
 - Ron Cavallo (70 Victoria Street) - feels that the only thing that is important is if the applicant installs a window of good quality.
- Closed public comment.
- Vice Chair Kelly - there are 3 two over two older windows, and in the Staff report only 1 is noted as original.
- Staff - that was an error.
- Vice Chair Kelly - asked the applicant for clarification on the number of original two over two windows.
- Applicant - confirmed that there are 3 original windows.
- Chair Parkes - in a circa 1860 building, they were already using larger glass panes by then (two over two windows).
- Mr. Bauer - asked if the 3 windows in the ell shape in the back are original, as well as why the applicant is requesting six over six windows.
- Applicant - they requested six over six windows to keep with the historic look of the house. The structure on the back with the ell of windows was not part of the original structure.
- Mr. Bauer - asked if the applicant would be comfortable with the replacement windows being two over two now that the HPC has deemed them more historically appropriate, applicant was amenable.
- Chair Parkes - not opposed to composite windows, just wanted to see what they looked like.
- Applicant and HPC discussed different window options and glass types.
- Staff - provided some insight into window installment.

- The HPC discussed modifications they wished to make to the applicant's proposal.

Motion to approve replacing all of the windows with wood composite windows with a low-e coating that does not reflect inappropriately in a two over two style.

HPC Action: Following a motion by Mr. Bauer, seconded by Vice Chair Kelly, the Commission voted unanimously (6-0) to **approve** the proposed alteration, with changes discussed.

Roll call vote: Ryan Falvey: yes; Robin Kelly: yes; Dick Bauer: yes; DJ Chagnon: yes; Alan Bingham: yes; Eric Parkes: yes

III. Determinations of Historic Significance (STEP 1 IN THE DEMOLITION REVIEW PROCESS)

1. HPC.DMO 2022.26 – 71 School Street

Applicant: Ji Shi

Owner: Same as Applicant

The Applicant seeks to demolish a principal structure constructed a minimum of 75 years ago.

- Applicant - provided some background with the permitting process and why the applicant is in front of the HPC at this meeting; applicant is willing to work with the HPC to move forward with their proposal.
- Public comment:
 - Ron Cavallo (70 Victoria Street) – concerned with the economic plight of the applicant and condition of the building. I drive by these properties and for all the reasons I have said before, because of the structural issues, structure itself, I fully support the demolition of this structure. This applicant will be harmed economically if something is not done. This building has no architectural significance.
- Closed public comment
- Chair Parkes - asked the applicant if they intend to reconstruct the walls that were demolished, applicant confirmed that is correct.
- Mr. Bingham - this appears to be the third case where a building has gone through demolition before coming in front of the HPC for approval, wondered if there is some systemic thing happening at the city where the applicants are not getting correct info which is causing these issues. This is something that could be addressed in a procedural manner, asked Staff to do something about this process, to make it easier for applicants.
- Staff - they are currently working to prevent this situation with other departments in the city.
- Vice Chair Kelly - the property has been heavily modified, and she found no historic significance to the structure.
- Mr. Bingham and Mr. Chagnon agreed.
- Chair Parkes - the pattern along the street has already been broken up and it would be okay to lose this structure.

HPC Action: Following a motion by Vice Chair Kelly, seconded by Mr. Falvey, the Commission voted unanimously (0-6) to declare the structure **not historically significant**.

Roll call vote: Ryan Falvey: no; Robin Kelly: no; Dick Bauer: no; DJ Chagnon: no; Alan Bingham: no; Eric Parkes: no



Findings:

- Building has been heavily modified and therefore is not historically significant
- It has been altered to the point that it no longer contributes to the streetscape

HPC Action: Following a motion by Vice Chair Kelly, seconded by Mr. Chagnon, the Commission voted unanimously (6-0) to adopt the above findings.

Roll call vote: Ryan Falvey: yes; Robin Kelly: yes; Dick Bauer: yes; DJ Chagnon: yes; Alan Bingham: yes; Eric Parkes: yes

2. HPC.DMO 2022.27 – 14 White Street Place

Applicant: Tim Curley

Owner: Tom Cooke, Personal Representative, Estate of Francis Cooke

The Applicant seeks to demolish a principal structure constructed a minimum of 75 years ago.

- Applicant - looking to build something that contributes to the community, and they have the support of the abutters.
- Public comment:
 - Ron Cavallo (70 Victoria Street) – feels the Commission is anti-development and needs to factor in the economics for the city. I fully support the demolition of this property, the units a new development would bring, as well as the tax revenue it would generate. This property has no historic or architectural significance.
- Closed public comment.
- Staff - reminded the HPC that they are only legally allowed to consider historical and architectural significance when determining if a property is Historically Significant or Preferably Preserved.
- Chair Parkes - this is the third of these little gothic revival buildings coming in front of the HPC on White Street, the only reference to the gothic architecture is dormer off to the right and the pitch of the gables.
- Mr. Bingham - this building has had significant modification over the years.
- Staff - reminded the HPC that there is a garage in the back of the main building that is also part of the proposed demolition.
- Vice Chair Kelly - this has been heavily modified, the structure in the back has no historic significance, and the front building has no impact on the streetscape.
- Mr. Bingham - no one of historic significance has lived there.
- Mr. Chagnon agreed.

HPC Action: Following a motion by Vice Chair Kelly, seconded by Mr. Bingham, the Commission voted unanimously (0-6) to declare the structure **not historically significant**.

Roll call vote: Ryan Falvey: no; Robin Kelly: no; Dick Bauer: no; DJ Chagnon: no; Alan Bingham: no; Eric Parkes: no

Findings:

- Too heavily modified to protect its historic significance.
- Does not add to the streetscape
- No significant architectural style

HPC Action: Following a motion by Mr. Chagnon, seconded by Vice Chair Kelly, the Commission voted unanimously (6-0) to adopt the above findings.

Roll call vote: Ryan Falvey: yes; Robin Kelly: yes; Dick Bauer: yes; DJ Chagnon: yes; Alan Bingham: yes; Eric Parkes: yes

IV. Determinations of Preferably Preserved (STEP 2 IN THE DEMOLITION REVIEW PROCESS)

1. HPC.DMO 2022.17 – 229 Tremont Street

Applicant: John F. Reilly

Owner: John F. Reilly and Liese Reilly, Trustees of the Reilly Trust

The Applicant seeks to demolish a principal structure constructed a minimum of 75 years ago.

- Attorney Anne Vigorito - there have been modifications to the building over the years, there may be some significance, but applicant feels that the preservation is not ideal for this building.
- Public comment:
 - Ron Cavallo (70 Victoria Street) – sees no architectural significance but does see an opportunity for the city. Nothing nice about the structure or series of structures and it has been altered too significantly. It is almost impossible to make this structure energy efficient. It's ugly, does nothing for the city, and causes economic harm.
- Closed public comment.
- Mr. Bingham - the structure is one of four similar structures in a row, however this one has been significantly modified overtime.
- Vice Chair Kelly - the building contributes to the streetscape that continues along an adjacent street to include a total of 10 structures of important workers houses.
- Chair Parkes – agreed with Vice Chair Kelly, there is a lot of development pressure for this building, as there is a new T stop steps away.
- Mr. Chagnon - finding this building preferably preserved is not the end all be all, applicant will just need to work with the city to determine how they will preserve the history of the building even if they end up demolishing the structure.
- The HPC discussed how they are unable to consider how demolishing a building will lead to something more profitable. Evaluating the economics of a property is not under the purview of the HPC.
- Mr. Bauer - losing this building would be significant and it should be preferably preserved.
- Mr. Falvey agreed.

HPC Action: Following a motion by Vice Chair Kelly, seconded by Mr. Chagnon, the Commission voted unanimously (6-0) to declare the structure **preferably preserved**.

Roll call vote: Ryan Falvey: yes; Robin Kelly: yes; Dick Bauer: yes; DJ Chagnon: yes; Alan Bingham: yes; Eric Parkes: yes

Findings:



- Contributes to the importance of the streetscape
- Good examples of triple-deckers in Somerville
- Retains its original form and massing

HPC Action: Following a motion by Vice Chair Kelly, seconded by Mr. Bingham, the Commission voted unanimously (6-0) to adopt the above findings.

Roll call vote: Ryan Falvey: yes; Robin Kelly: yes; Dick Bauer: yes; DJ Chagnon: yes; Alan Bingham: yes; Eric Parkes: yes

2. **HPC.DMO 2022.18 – 233 Tremont Street**

Applicant: John F. Reilly

Owner: John F. Reilly and Liese Reilly, Trustees of the Reilly Trust

The Applicant seeks to demolish a principal structure constructed a minimum of 75 years ago.

- Attorney Anne Vigorito - same comments as the 229 Tremont Street.
- Public comment:
 - Ron Cavallo (70 Victoria Street) – does not agree with HPC’s streetscape argument and believes the Commission is wrong with their decision to determine preferably preserved for the item above. The buildings in this streetscape are unattractive, they add nothing to the city, and this is absolutely ridiculous. This is utter stupidity, and I’m very angry. The HPC is harming the city with this decision.
- Closed public comment.
- Vice Chair Kelly - same thoughts as 229 Tremont Street.
- Mr. Chagnon - the triple-decker is iconic to Somerville’s history, there are not a lot of grouping of buildings like that in the city anymore. The HPC’s mandate is to preserve the historic character of the city.
- Chair Parkes - the history of Somerville wasn’t necessarily focused on pretty architecture; the majority of folks lived a grittier worker-based type of life here and the architecture reflected that.
- Mr. Bingham - several triple-deckers that are being converted into condos, a lot are done quite nicely and keep the streetscape intact. He could see a benefit to developing this property and 229 Tremont to include affordable housing units, however the development should not destroy the historic fabric of the city.
- Vice Chair Kelly - the HPC is interested in preserving the historic fabric of the city because it is the purview of the HPC to be responsible for thinking about the historic merit of properties only. They must stay within the parameters that have been laid out for them, there are other groups in the city that are responsible for other aspects of things.

HPC Action: Following a motion by Vice Chair Kelly, seconded by Mr. Bauer, the Commission voted unanimously (6-0) to declare the structure **preferably preserved**.

Roll call vote: Ryan Falvey: yes; Dick Bauer: yes; DJ Chagnon: yes; Alan Bingham: yes; Robin Kelly: yes; Eric Parkes: yes

Findings:

- Contributes to the importance of the streetscape
- Good examples of triple-deckers in Somerville
- Retains its original form and massing



HPC Action: Following a motion by Vice Chair Kelly, seconded by Mr. Chagnon, the Commission voted unanimously (6-0) to adopt the above findings.

Roll call vote: Ryan Falvey: yes; Dick Bauer: yes; DJ Chagnon: yes; Alan Bingham: yes; Robin Kelly: yes; Eric Parkes: yes

3. **HPC.DMO 2022.23 – 12 Woodbine Street**

Applicant: North America Development LLC, Bruna Rossetti

Owner: 12 Woodbine, LLC

The Applicant seeks to demolish a principal structure constructed a minimum of 75 years ago.

- Applicant submitted a written continuance requesting postponement to the 20 September 2022 HPC meeting.

HPC Action: Following a motion by Mr. Bauer, seconded by Vice Chair Kelly, the Commission voted unanimously (6-0) to **approve** the request to continue to the 20 September 2022 hearing.

Roll call vote: Ryan Falvey: yes; Dick Bauer: yes; DJ Chagnon: yes; Alan Bingham: yes; Robin Kelly: yes; Eric Parkes: yes

4. **HPC.DMO 2022.24 – 90 School Street**

Applicant: Ralph Malin

Owner: 90 School St Realty Trust

The Applicant seeks to demolish a principal structure constructed a minimum of 75 years ago.

- Attorney Anne Vigorito - the property has been deemed historically significant. It has been a rental property for over 30 years and there has been significant alterations to the property, applicant feels the building should not be preferably preserved.
- Public comment:
 - none
- Closed public comment.
- Chair Parkes - the building is pretty well intact, with several original details.
- Vice Chair Kelly concurred.
- Mr. Bauer - agreed with Chair Parkes, this is a street that is slightly older than other areas and this looks like an early 20th century building that is large and well intact.
- Mr. Chagnon - the building appears to have been constructed between 1900-1930, original building was a mirrored twin from a building one lot down the hill.
- Mr. Bingham - if they tore down the structure and rebuilt a new one, it would be qualifying for inclusionary housing. Financially more practical to have the building be renovated, as it appears to be in good condition, other than some windows and doors.
- Applicant - the existing structure is a multiplex, and the intention is to build an apartment house with 10 units.
- Chair Parkes - leaned towards preferably preserved status, as it is such a good example of that style of building, although, if it was a different building, it would not do harm to the streetscape.
- Mr. Bingham - it interesting that most multiplexes are six units and this one is three.

- Vice Chair Kelly - leaned towards declaring it preferably preserved because it is a good example of the building type, it retains its form and massing, and still has some of its original detailing despite the modifications.
- Mr. Falvey - supported leaning towards declaring it preferably preserved.
- Mr. Bauer agreed.

HPC Action: Following a motion by Vice Chair Kelly, seconded by Mr. Bauer, the Commission voted unanimously (6-0) to declare the structure **preferably preserved**.

Roll call vote: Ryan Falvey: yes; Dick Bauer: yes; DJ Chagnon: yes; Alan Bingham: yes; Robin Kelly: yes; Eric Parkes: yes

Findings:

- Well preserved example of its building type; three-unit configuration is not common
- Original architectural detailing is significant
- Its ability to exemplify the evolution in Somerville's streetscape and economic development

HPC Action: Following a motion by Vice Chair Kelly, seconded by Mr. Bauer, the Commission voted unanimously (6-0) to adopt the above findings.

Roll call vote: Ryan Falvey: yes; Dick Bauer: yes; DJ Chagnon: yes; Alan Bingham: yes; Robin Kelly: yes; Eric Parkes: yes

V. Other Business

- Minutes – 10 May 2022
 - Vice Chair Kelly and Mr. Bauer pointed out minor edits.
 - Staff stated that they would make the edits.

HPC Action: Following a motion by Mr. Falvey, seconded by Mr. Bauer, the Commission voted (6-0-1), with Mr. Chagnon abstaining, to **approve** the meeting minutes, as amended.

Roll call vote: Ryan Falvey: yes; Dick Bauer: yes; DJ Chagnon: abstain; Alan Bingham: yes; Robin Kelly: yes; Eric Parkes: yes

- **CPC update**
 - Mr. Bingham provided updates on the program, including if the CPC has discussed the possibility of increasing the grant amounts to account for the increase in current construction costs.
 - The CPC is currently in the process of taking in applications.

VI. Adjournment

- Motion to adjourn at 10:15pm.

HPC Action: Following a motion by Mr. Bingham, seconded by Mr. Falvey, the Commission voted unanimously (6-0) to **adjourn** the meeting.

Roll call vote: Ryan Falvey: yes; Dick Bauer: yes; DJ Chagnon: yes; Alan Bingham: yes; Robin Kelly: yes; Eric Parkes: yes

Please see cases at <https://www.somervillema.gov/departments/historic-preservation/hpc-cases> . As cases may be continued to a later date, please check the agenda (posted 48 hours in advance of the meeting) on the City website or email historic@somervillema.gov to inquire if specific cases will be heard. Continued cases will not be re-advertised. Interested persons may provide spoken remarks to the Historic Preservation Commission at the virtual public hearing or via e-mail to historic@somervillema.gov . All written comments must be received by NOON, one week prior to the date of the HPC meeting.

